

# Algorithm to Mine Negative Association Rules

B.Ramasubbareddy<sup>1</sup>, K.Sekar.<sup>2</sup>, Dr.A.Ramamohanreddy<sup>3</sup> Professor, S.V.College of Engineering, Tirupathi, India<sup>1</sup> Professor, S.V.College of Engineering, Tirupathi, India<sup>2</sup> Professor, S.V.U. College of Engineering, S.V.University, Tirupati, India<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT**: Association rule mining is one of the most popular data mining techniques to find associations among items in a set by mining necessary patterns in a large database. Typical association rules consider only items enumerated in transactions. Such rules are referred to as positive association rules. Negative association rules also consider the same items, but in addition consider negated items (i.e. absent from transactions). Negative association rules are useful in market-basket analysis to identify products that conflict with each other or products that complement each other. They are also very useful for constructing associative classifiers. In this paper, we propose an algorithm that mines positive and negative association rules without adding any additional measure and extra database scans.

KEYWORDS: Data Mining, Negative Association Rules, Support, Confidence.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Association rule mining is a data mining task that discovers associations among items in a transactional database. Association rules have been extensively studied in the literature for their usefulness in many application domains such as recommender systems, diagnosis decisions support, telecommunication, intrusion detection, etc. Efficient discovery of such rules has been a major focus in the data mining research. From the celebrated *Apriori* algorithm [1] there have been a remarkable number of variants and improvements of association rule mining algorithms [2]. A typical example of association rule mining application is the market basket analysis. In this process, the behavior of the customers is studied with reference to buying different products in a shopping store. The discovery of interesting patterns in this collection of data can lead to important marketing and management strategic decisions. For instance, if a customer buys bread, what are chances that customer buys milk as well? Depending on some measure to represent the said chances of such an associations/correlations found in the data. All the traditional association rule mining algorithms were developed to find positive associations between items. By positive associations, we refer to associations between items exist in transactions containing the items bought together. What about associations of the type: "customers that buy Coke *do not* buy Pepsi" or "customers that buy juice *do not* buy bottled water"? In addition to the positive associations, the negative association can provide

valuable information, in devising marketing strategies. This paper is structured as follows: the next section recalls preliminaries about Association Rules, In Section 3, existing strategies for mining negative Association Rules are reviewed. The proposed algorithm is presented in Section 4 and this is a new Apriori-based algorithm for finding all valid positive and negative association rules. Section 5 contains conclusions and future work.

#### II. BASIC CONCEPTS AND TERMINOLOGY

This section introduces association rules terminology and some related work on negative association rules.

#### A. Association Rules

Formally, association rules are defined as follows: Let  $I = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$  be a set of items. Let *D* be a set of transactions, where each transaction *T* is a set of items such that  $T \subseteq I$ .

Each transaction is associated with a unique identifier *TID*. A transaction *T* is said to contain *X*, a set of items in *I*, if  $X \subseteq T$ . An *association rule* is an implication of the form "X Y", where  $X \subseteq I$ ;  $Y \subseteq I$ , and X Y = . The rule *X Y* has *support s* in the transaction set *D* if *s*% of the transactions in *D* contain *X U Y*. In other words, the support of the rule is the probability that *X* and *Y* hold together among all the possible presented cases. It is said that the rule *X Y* holds in the transaction set *D* with *confidence c* if *c*% of transactions in *D* that contain *X* also contain *Y*. In other words, the confidence of

the rule is the conditional probability that the consequent Y is true under the condition of the antecedent X. The problem of discovering all association rules from a set of transactions D consists of generating the rules that have a *support* and *confidence* greater than given thresholds. These rules are called *strong rules*, and the framework is known as the *support-confidence* framework for association rule mining. Definition of Negative Association Rule A *negative association rule* is an implication of the form X Y

(or 
$$X ext{ Y or } X ext{ Y}$$
), where  $X \subseteq I$ ,  $Y \subseteq I$  and



 $X \ Y =$  (Note that although rule in the form of X Y contains negative elements, it is equivalent to a positive association rule in the form of Y X. Therefore it is not considered as a negative association rule.) In contrast to positive rules, a negative rule encapsulates relationship between the occurrences of one set of items with the absence of the other set of items. The rule  $X \ Y has$  support s % in the data sets, if s % of transactions in T contain itemset X while do not contain itemset Y. The support of a negative association rule,  $supp(X \ Y)$ , is the frequency of occurrence of transactions with item set X in the absence of item set Y. Let U be the set of transactions that contain all items in X. The rule  $X \ Y$  holds in the given data set (database) with confidence c %, if c% of transactions in U do not contain item set Y. Confidence of negative association rule,  $conf(X \ Y)$ , can be calculated with  $P(X \ Y)/P(X)$ , where P(.) is the probability function. The support and confidence of itemsets are calculated during iterations. However, it is difficult to count the support and confidence of non-existing items in transactions. To avoid counting them directly, we can compute the measures through those of positive rules.

#### III. RELATED WORK IN NEGATIVE ASSOCIATION RULE MINING

We give a short description of the existing algorithms that can generate positive and negative association rules.

The concept of negative relationships mentioned for the first time in the literature by Brin et.al [11]. To verify the independence between two variables, they use the statistical test. To verify the positive or negative relationship, a correlation metric was used. Their model is chi-squared based. The chi-squared test rests on the normal approximation to the binomial distribution (more precisely, to the hyper geometric distribution). This approximation breaks down when the expected values are small.

A new idea to mine strong negative rules presented in [14]. They combine positive frequent itemsets with domain knowledge in the form of taxonomy to mine negative associations. However, their algorithm is hard to generalize since it is domain dependent and requires a predefined taxonomy. Finding negative itemsets involve following steps: (1) first find all the generalized large itemsets in the data (i.e., itemsets at all levels in the taxonomy whose support is greater than the user specified minimum support) (2) next identify the candidate negative itemsets based on the large itemsets and the taxonomy and assign them expected support. (3) in the last step, count the actual support for the candidate itemsets and retain only the negative itemsets. The interest measure RI of negative association rule X Y, as follows RI=(E[support(X U Y)]-support(X U Y))/support(X) Where E[support(X)] is the expected support of an itemset X.

A new measure called *mininterest* (the argument is that a

rule A = B is of interest only if  $supp(A \cup B)$ - $supp(A) \ supp(B) = mininterest$  added on top of the support-confidence framework[16]. They consider the itemsets (positive or negative) that exceed minimum support and minimum interest thresholds as itemsets of interest. Although, [8] introduces the "mininterest" parameter, the authors do not discuss how to set it and what would be the impact on the results when changing this parameter.

A novel approach has proposed in [15]. In this, mining both positive and negative association rules of interest can be decomposed into the following two sub problems, (1) generate the set of frequent itemsets of interest (PL) and the set of infrequent itemsets of interest (NL) (2) extract positive rules of the form A=>B in PL, and negative rules of the forms A B, A B and A B in NL. To generate PL, NL and negative association rules they developed three functions namely, fipi(), iipis() and CPIR().

The most common frame-work in the association rule generation is the "Support-Confidence" one. In [13], authors considered another frame-work called correlation analysis that adds to the support-confidence. In this paper, they combined the two phases (mining frequent itemsets and generating strong association rules) and generated the relevant rules while analyzing the correlations within each candidate itemset. This avoids evaluating item combinations redundantly. Indeed, for each generated candidate itemset, they computed all possible combinations of items to analyze their correlations. At the end, they keep only those rules generated from item combinations with strong correlation. If the correlation is positive, a positive rule is discovered. If the correlation is negative, two negative rules are discovered. The negative rules produced are of the form X Y or X Y which the authors term as "confined negative association rules". Here the entire antecedent or consequent is either a conjunction of negated attributes.

An innovative approach has proposed in [12]. In this generating positive and negative association rules consists of four steps: (1) Generate all positive frequent itemsets L ( $P_1$ ) (ii) for all itemsets I in L( $P_1$ ), generate negative frequent itemsets of the form (I1 I2) (iii) Generate all negative frequent itemsets I1 I2 (iv) Generate all negative frequent itemsets I1 I2 and (v) Generate all valid positive and negative association rules. Authors generated negative rules without adding additional interesting measure(s) to support-confidence frame wor



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

#### Vol. 1, Issue 1, November 2012

### IV. ALGORITHM

In this section we propose and explain our algorithm. Apriori algorithm has two steps, namely, the join step and the prune step. In join step all frequent itemsets of previous level joined itself to obtain candidate itemsets i.e., by joining  $L_{k-1}$  to itself i.e.,

$$C_k = L_k - 1 \qquad L_k - 1$$

In pruning step, for each I  $C_k$ , it applies *Apriori Property* (an itemset is frequent if all its subsets are also frequent). Itemsets satisfying Apriori Property are called as valid itemsets and it is denoted by PC<sub>K</sub>. NC<sub>K</sub> can be obtained by replacing each literal in PC<sub>K</sub> by its corresponding negated item. For a valid candidate with n literals it produces n negative itemsets. Support of negative itemsets can be obtained from positive itemsets.

1) Algorithm: Negative Association Rules (NAR).

INPUT:

D:Transactional database, ms: minimum support, mc: minimum confidence

**OUTPUT**: Positive and Negative Association Rules

Method:

- (1) L1=frequent-1-positive-itemsets(D) (2) N1=frequent-1-Negative-itemsets(D) // complement frequent-1-positive-itemsets(D) (3) L=L1 UN1;
- (4) for  $(k=2; L_{k-1} \emptyset; k++)$  (5) {
- (6) // Generating  $C_k$
- (7) for each  $l_{1}, l_{2}$   $L_{k-1}$
- (8) If  $(l_1[1]=l_2[1]^{-1},...,l_1[k-2]=l_2[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]=l_2[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}l_1[k-2]^{-1}$

1]<l2[k-1])

- Line 22-23, prune all items in  $PC_k$  using support count and add to  $L_k$ , set of frequent k-itemsets
- Line 24, find support count of all items in

NCk using PCk and Lk-1.

- support(A) = 1-suuport(A)
- support(AU B) = support(A)-support(AU B)
- support(AUB) = support(B)-support(AUB)
- support(AU B) = 1-support(A)-support(B) + support(A U B) (9)  $C_k = C_k \cup \{l1 \ [1], \dots, l1 \ [k-2], l1[k-1], l2[k-1]\}$

is the set of all elements whose

(11) end for

- (12) // Pruning using Apriori property (13) for each (k-1)- subsets s of c in  $C_k$  (14) If s is not a member of  $L_{k-1}$
- (15)  $C_k=C_k \{c\}$
- (16) end if
- (17) end for
- (18)  $PC_k = C_k;$
- (19) for each c in PCK

support minsupp.

• The generation of positive rules continues without disruption and the rich but valuable negative rules are produced as by-products of the Apriori process.

## V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We tested proposed algorithm on a synthetic dataset to

study the performance of the

 $NC_k = \{c_k \neq c_k \}$  NC\_k However, to

illustrate the algorithm, we hereunder provided the solution to of c in PCk by its negation  $\}$ 

- (21) //Pruning using Support Count
- (22) Scan the database and find support for all c in  $PC_k$
- (23)  $L_k$ =candidates in PCk that pass support threshold

(20)



# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 1, Issue 1, November 2012

a typical example.

Example. Let us consider a small transactional table with 15 transactions and 9 items. In Table 1 a small transactional database is given (24)

- Find support for all ck
- TABLE I. (25) A Transaction Table  $N_k$ = candidates in  $NC_k$  that pass support threshold  $L = L_k U N_k$ (26)
  - (27) }



•Line 1 generates positive-frequent-1- itemsets

- Line 2 generates negative-frequent-1-itemsets by complementing 1-itemsets obtained in Line 1
- Line 8 and 9 generates candidate itemsets Ck using Apriori algorithm
- Line 13-15 pruning candidate itemsets in Ck using Apriori property
- Lines 18, after pruning, the remaining elements are treated as valid candidates and is denoted by PCk.
- Line 19-20, for each literal of this valid candidate, replace the literal with the
  - corresponding negated literal, creates a new negative rule and denoted by  $NC_k$ . Each valid candidate with n number of literals in the antecedent will generate n new negative itemsets. For example a 3-itemset ABC will give 3 negative items ABC, A BC, and AB C.

L1	SUPP	N1	SUPP
I2	0.4	I2	0.6
I3	0.4	I3	0.6
I4	0.27	I4	0.73
I5	0.53	I5	0.47
I6	0.33	I6	0.67
I7	0.47	I7	0.53
I8	0.27	I8	0.73

In the following tables,  $L_K$  denoted as positive frequent k- itemsets and  $N_K$  denoted as negative frequent k-itemsets. Consider minimum support as 20%. In  $N_K$  bold itemsets are infrequent negative itemsets.

Table II.	Frequ Table III.	Frequent 2-Positive Itemsets
-----------	------------------	------------------------------

L2	I2	I2	I3	I3	15	15	I6
	I3	I4	I5	I7	16	17	17
SUPP	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.33	0.2

Table IV: Frequent 2-Negative Itemsets

N2	SUPP	N2	SUPP
I2 I3	0.2	I2 I3	0.2
I2 I4	0.07	I2 I4	0.2
I3 I5	0.33	I3 I5	0.2
I3 I7	0.27	I3 I7	0.2
I5 I6	0.13	I5 I6	0.33
I5 I7	0.14	I5 I7	0.2
<b>I</b> 6 I7	0.27	I6 I7	0.13

Table V: Frequent 3-Positive Itemsets

L3	SUPP
I3I5I7	0.2

Table VI: Frequent 3-Negative Itemsets

N3	SUPP
I3I5I7	0.13
I3 I5I7	0
I3I5 I7	0



#### VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we proposed an algorithm that mines both positive and negative association rules. Our method generates positive and negative rules with existing support-confidence framework and no extra scan is required for mining negative association rules. We conducted experiments on synthetic data set. It is producing larger number of negative rules. In future we wish to conduct experiments on real datasets and compare the performance of our algorithm with other related algorithms.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Agrawal and R. Srikant. Fast algorithms for mining associationrules. In VLDB, Chile, September 1994.
- [2] J. Han, J. Pei, and Y. Yin. *Mining frequent patterns* without candidate generation. In SIGMOD, dallas, Texas, 2000.
- [3] C. Blakeand C. Merz. UCI repository of machine learning databases.
- [4] S.Brin, R. Motwani, and C.Silverstein. Beyond market 1997.
   baskets: Generalizing association rules to correlations. In ACM SIGMOD, Tucson, Arizona,
- [5] D. Thiruvady and G. Webb. Mining negative association rules using grd. In PAKDD, Sydney, Australia, 2004
- [6] B.Ramasubbareddy, A.Govardhan, and A.Ramamohanreddy. Adaptive approaches in mining negative association rules. In intl. conference on ITFRWP-09, India Dec-2009.
- [7] Goethals, B., Zaki, M., eds.: *FIMI'03: Workshop on* http://CEUR-WS.org/Vol-90/. Frequent Itemset Mining Implementations. Volume 90 of CEUR Workshop Proceedings series. (2003)
- [8] Teng, W., Hsieh, M., Chen, M.: On the mining of substitution rules for statistically dependent items. In: Proc. of ICDM. (2002) 442–449
- [9] Tan, P., Kumar, V.: Interestingness measures for Mining. (2000)
   [9] Tan, P., Kumar, V.: Interestingness measures for Mining. (2000)
- [10] Gourab Kundu, Md. Monirul Islam, Sirajum Munir, Md. Faizul Bari ACN: An Associative Classifier with Negative Rules 11th IEEE International Conference on Computational Science and Engineering, 2008.
- [11] Brin, S., Motwani, R. and Silverstein, C., " Beyond Market Baskets: Generalizing Association Rules to Correlations," Proc. ACM SIGMOD Conf., pp.265-276, May 1997.
- [12] Chris Cornelis, peng Yan, Xing Zhang, Guoqing Chen: Mining Positive and Negative Association Rules from Large Databases, IEEE conference 2006.
- [13] M.L. Antonie and O.R. Za<sup>-</sup> ane, "Mining Positive and Negative Association Rules: an Approach for Confined Rules", Proc. Intl. Conf. on Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases, 2004, pp 27–38.
- [14] Savasere, A., Omiecinski, E., Navathe, S.: Mining for Strong negative associations in a large data base of customer transactions. In: Proc. of ICDE. (1998) 494-502..
- [15] Wu, X., Zhang, C., Zhang, S.: efficient mining both positive and negative association rules. ACM Transactions on Information Systems, Vol. 22, No.3, July 2004, Pages 381-405.
- [16] Wu, X., Zhang, C., Zhang, S.: Mining both positive and negative association rules. In: Proc. of ICML. (2002) 658-665
- [17] Yuan, X., Buckles, B., Yuan, Z., Zhang, J.: *Mining* Negative Association Rules. In: Proc. of ISCC. (2002) 623-629.
- [18] Honglei Zhu, Zhigang Xu: An Effective Algorithm for Mining Positive and Negative Association Rules. International Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering 2008.
- [19] Pradip Kumar Bala: A Technique for Mining Negative Association Rules
  Proceedings of the 2nd Bangalore Annual Compute Conference (2009).
- [20] Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques Jiawei Han, Micheline Kamberent 1-Positive And Negative Itemsets